MAR O 1 2008

#22

PATENT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10487-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Konia

Serial No.:

09/491,747

Examiner: Karmis, Stefano

Filed:

January 27, 2000

Group Art Unit: 3624

Title:

ONLINE AUCTION BID MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

AND METHOD

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 RECEIVED

MAR 0 3 2004

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

GROUP 3600

Sir:

This Interview Summary is submitted pursuant to M.P.E.P § 713.04 after a telephone interview held on January 28, 2004. The participants in the interview were Examiner Stefano Karmis, Primary Examiner Hani Kazmimi, Applicant Brad Konia, and counsel for Applicant, Ivan Posey. There were no exhibits or demonstrations presented during the interview. Independent claims 1, 11, 23 and 24 were discussed, and the specific prior art discussed was U.S. Patent No. 5,835,896 (*Fisher*), and *Fisher* in combination with U.S. Patent No. 6,269,361 (*Davis*).

Applicant has already filed an Amendment And Response ("Response") to the Last Office Action, dated December 23, 2003, on February 4, 2004 containing a summary of the January 28, 2004 interview. However, Applicant received an Examiner's Interview Summary (form PTOL-413) dated February 10, 2004. Applicant submits this Interview Summary as required when Applicant receives an Examiner's Interview Summary subsequent to replying to the last Office Action.

Attorney Docket No.: 10487-1 Serial No. 09/491,747

The Applicant thanks the Examiner and the Primary Examiner for the courtesy extended to Applicant and his counsel in the January 28, 2004 interview. In the interview, the merits of the rejections of the case were discussed. Specifically, the invention was discussed in view of the new rejections of the claims over *Fisher*, and *Fisher* in combination with *Davis*. With respect to independent claims 1 and 11, Applicant's counsel explained that, although *Fisher* does disclose a "proxy bid" system that allows the auction manager to automatically bid on the bidder's behalf, *Fisher*, even in combination with *Davis*, fails to disclose a system or method which checks for whether a bidder's bid is too high for a specific position of priority or ranking that a bidder wishes to maintain in an auction. Further, *Fisher* and *Davis*, alone or in combination, fail to disclose a system or method which automatically decrements or lowers a bid if the bid is found to be higher than needed to maintain a selected position of priority or ranking in an auction.

Applicant's counsel explained that this feature has particular significance in continuous type auctions, such as in search engines where bidders bid on advertising rankings for terms. In non-continuous auctions, bidding occurs for a set period until a product is sold. In continuous auctions, bidding continues indefinitely. In the search engine example, payments may be assessed at the bid price every time a search engine user clicks on the their search engine listing, or every time the bidder's listing is included in a search. Even though savings are realized in a non-continuous auction using the bid-reducing feature of the present invention, in the continuous auction context, savings may be even more pronounced as payments accumulate over time.

Applicant's counsel further explained that, while it is possible in the Davis system

Attorney Docket No.: 10487-1 Serial No. 09/491,747

to manually check a bid position or ranking (see Col. 19, Il. 38-58), the *Davis* system does not automatically check the bidder's position. Further, *Davis* provides no means to automatically lower a bidder's bid if the bid is higher than necessary to maintain the desired position or ranking for the bidder. Again, especially in the continuous auction context, it would be very time consuming for a bidder to constantly check to see if their bid is at the minimum necessary to maintain their priority position using the *Davis* system, even in view of the newly cited *Fisher* system, which does not have any means for automatically checking and lowering a bidder's bid if the bid is higher than necessary. Without such a feature, if the bidder does not constantly take the time to perform this check, then the bidder may pay considerably more than is necessary to maintain their position.

Applicant's counsel agreed to amend claims 1 and 11 to include the feature of automatically reducing a bid if the bid is found to be higher than needed to maintain a selected position of priority in the auction, and has done so in the February 4, 2004 Response.

With respect to claim 23, Applicant explained that *Fisher* and *Davis*, individually, combined, or in combination with the other cited art, fail to disclose a system for automatically managing bids in an auction in which <u>vendors complete for selling</u>

products or services to buyers. The invention of claim 23 provides a system in which a vendor is able to provide information for the system to automatically submit bids for selling, which are automatically lowered if the vendor does not have the desired priority for selling.

With respect to claims 24-25, the Examiners imposed a Restriction Requirement.

Attorney Docket No.: 10487-1 Serial No. 09/491,747

Applicant's counsel traversed and elected to prosecute claims 1-23 in this application, while reserving the right to re-submit claims 24-25 in a divisional application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 23, 2004

IVAN POSEY

Reg. No. 43,865

BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER

& STEINER LLP

1880 Century Park East, Suite 711 Los Angeles, California 90067

(310) 712-8300

IMP

PTO/SB/21 (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ZE TRANSMITTAL			Application Number		09/491,747	
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Filing Date		01/27/2000	
			First Named Inventor		Brad S. Konia	
			Art Unit		3624	
			Examiner Name Stefano Karmis		Stefano Karmis	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 5			Attorney Docket N	lumber	10487-1	
		ENCLO	SURES (check all the	at apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to Group	
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
C Everence Abandones et Bannach		Request for Refund			Interview Summary	
Express Abandonment Request		CD, Number of CD(s)			Return Receipt Postcard	
Information Disclosure Statement						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks			RECEIVE	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application					MAR 0 3 2004	
Response to Missing		MAR U 3 2004				
Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		GROUP 36				
	SIGNAT	URE OF A	APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, OF	RAGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Ivan Posey					
Signature						
Date	02/24/2004					
		CEF	RTIFICATE OF MAI	LING		
	postage as first cl	ass mail in			or deposited with the United States Postal mmissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,	
Typed or printed name		JWII DEIOW.				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is fequired to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S. 422 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date

02/24/2004

Signature

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.